INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

2006-2007 COMPLIANCE AND ON-SITE MONITORING REPORT

FOR:

Muncie Public Library

DOCUMENT ANALYSIS	OBSERVATION		COMPLIANCE	
	Lesson matches		Criminal Background	
Tutor Qualifications	original description	Satisfactory	Checks	
			Health/safety laws &	
Recruiting Materials	Instruction is clear	Satisfactory	regulations	
	Time on task is			
Academic Program	appropriate	Satisfactory	Financial viability	
	Instructor is			
	appropriately			
Progress Reporting	knowledgeable	Satisfactory		
	Student/instructor			
	ratio: 6-7:1	Satisfactory		

ACTION NEEDED: NONE

(As per the on-site monitoring rubric instructions, while monitoring/observation of SES providers is completed annually, document and compliance analysis is completed every two years. Since Muncie Public Library's document and compliance analysis was completed during the 2005-2006 school year, only an observation was completed for the 2006-2007 school year).

Provider submitted plan addressing how it will ensure smooth transitions between activities and lessons in the future and ensure that computer time is more structured and focused on academic standards.

On-site Monitoring Rubric OBSERVATION Components

DATE: 2-22-07

NAME OF PROVIDER: Muncie Public Library

SITE: 301 E. Jackson Street (Muncie, IN)

REVIEWER: ST & TN

TUTOR'S INITIALS (ALL TUTORS OBSERVED): K.C., D.G., & P.D.

TIME OF OBSERVATION: 4:15 p.m.

NUMBER OF LESSONS OBSERVED: 3

During the site visit, IDOE personnel will visit several tutoring sessions to observe lessons being provided. IDOE reviewers will be looking to see that actual tutoring matches lesson plan descriptions that are provided in requested documents, as well as those that were provided in the original provider application; that tutors and students are spending an appropriate amount of time on task; that instruction is clear and understandable; and that instructors seem knowledgeable about lesson content.

Each provider will receive a mark of "Satisfactory" (S) or "Unsatisfactory" (U) for each component. Providers receiving a "U" in any component may be required to address deficiencies within 7 calendar days of receiving their final report. Failure to address deficiencies may result in removal from the state approved list.

COMPONENT		**	DENTENCES COMMENTS
COMPONENT	S	U	REVIEWER COMMENTS Students were divided into three groups by age levels. Students spent part of the tutoring session
			completing homework, working in the computer lab, or working on the provider's lessons and
			activities. For one group of students, the tutor read two stories about the three little pigs to students,
			asked reading comprehension questions and guided students in creating/drawing their own story
			boards based on the stories. Another group of students graphed coordinates from a math worksheet
			and then went to the computer lab and played math or language arts related games online. The third
			group of students worked in the computer lab playing educational games and then transitioned to
			another activity (the tutor first verified the students did not have any homework) in which the tutor
			stated a time and the students manipulated hand held clocks to display the same time the tutor read
			to them.
			Although observed lessons match original description in provider application, transitions between
			activities were sometimes loud and appeared somewhat unstructured. In addition, there did not
			appear to be a clear objective to the computer lab activities. Students selected games at will and
T			received little interaction or direction from tutors during this activity. In fact, some students selected
Lesson matches original description in	C		non-educational games and played them until a tutor instructed them to play some of the educational
provider application	S		games.
Instruction is clear	S		For the most part, tutors provided clear directions that students were able to understand. Tutors
			provided appropriate direct support if/when students requested assistance.
Time on task is appropriate	S		Students generally stayed on task. Tutors were able to redirect students when they were off task.
			Tutors adequately addressed questions posed by their students. For the most part, tutors used
Instructor is appropriately by availed eachle	C		appropriate techniques to engage students in lessons and provided appropriate feedback and
Instructor is appropriately knowledgeable	S		guidance to students.
	S		Application notes that the ratio will be 7:1 and that instruction will be individual or in small and large

Student/instructor ratio: 6-7:1	groups. A 6-7:1 ratio and small group instruction were observed.
---------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------